Quantifying the Economic Value and Return on Investment of Public Libraries

Dilnavozxon Iqboljon-qizi Soliyeva
Scientific supervisor: Rashid Turgunbayev
Kokand State University

Abstract: *Public libraries represent a significant yet frequently undervalued public investment. In the United States alone, local governments allocate over twelve billion dollars annually to fund more than fifteen thousand public library systems, yet the economic returns generated by these expenditures have only recently begun to receive rigorous empirical attention. This article synthesizes the growing body of literature on the economic valuation of public libraries, examining the methodologies employed to quantify their value, the range of returns documented across diverse contexts, and the implications for public policy and library management. Drawing on studies employing return on investment analysis, social return on investment frameworks, contingent valuation, and quasi-experimental designs, the evidence consistently demonstrates that public libraries generate substantial positive economic returns, with benefit-cost ratios typically ranging from approximately 1.4 to 7.2 depending on methodology and context. Beyond direct service valuation, emerging research documents spillover effects including improved educational outcomes, support for entrepreneurship and workforce development, and community economic resilience. The article concludes by considering the limitations of current valuation approaches and identifying directions for future research that might more fully capture the multifaceted contributions of public libraries to local and national economies.*

Keywords: *public libraries, return on investment, economic valuation, social return on investment, benefit-cost analysis, library funding*

Introduction

The question of whether public libraries justify their cost has taken on renewed urgency in an era of constrained municipal budgets and persistent demands for accountability in public spending. Unlike private enterprises, public libraries do not operate with profit as their objective, nor do they generate revenue streams that can be compared directly against expenditures in conventional financial terms. Their value resides in the services they provide freely to all members of a community: access to information, educational resources, technology, and public space. The challenge of translating these services into economic terms that can be meaningfully compared against their costs has occupied researchers, library administrators, and policy analysts for several decades.

The stakes of this measurement exercise are considerable. Public libraries in the United States alone represent an annual investment exceeding twelve billion dollars across more than fifteen thousand individual library systems. In an environment where competing demands for public funds are intense, the ability to articulate the economic value that libraries generate is not merely an academic exercise but a practical necessity for institutional survival and growth. As one practitioner-oriented guide notes, the return on investment metric offers a familiar and relatable framework that municipal officials, town

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of CC BY License

managers, and city councils can readily understand and apply to decisions about library funding.

This article examines the current state of knowledge regarding the economic value and return on investment of public libraries. It proceeds from a recognition that the value of libraries extends beyond what can be captured in purely financial terms, while simultaneously acknowledging that economic valuation provides an essential tool for demonstrating the material benefits that libraries deliver to their communities. The discussion begins with an overview of the principal methodologies employed in library valuation research, then synthesizes empirical findings across multiple national and local contexts, examines the mechanisms through which libraries generate economic value, and concludes with a critical assessment of the limitations inherent in current approaches and the implications for future research and practice.

Methodological Approaches to Valuing Public Libraries

The economic valuation of public libraries presents a distinctive methodological challenge precisely because libraries operate outside market mechanisms. The services they provide - the loan of a book, the use of a computer, the guidance of a reference librarian, the simple availability of a quiet space to read or study - are offered without charge to the user. This means that conventional market prices, which ordinarily serve as the basis for economic valuation, are absent. Researchers have therefore developed and adapted several distinct methodologies to estimate the value that individuals and communities derive from library services.

The most widely employed approach in practice is return on investment analysis, often abbreviated as ROI. This method seeks to calculate the monetary value of library services by determining what it would cost an individual to obtain equivalent services through market alternatives. If a library lends a popular novel, the value of that loan can be approximated by the retail price of the book or by what a commercial book rental service might charge. If a patron uses a library computer to search for employment, the value can be estimated by reference to commercial internet access rates. When these individual service values are aggregated across all library users and activities, the resulting figure represents the total value of services provided. Dividing this total by the library's operating budget yields a benefit-cost ratio that expresses how many dollars of value are generated for each dollar invested.

A closely related methodology is social return on investment, or SROI. This approach shares with conventional ROI the goal of expressing value in monetary terms, but it extends the analysis to include social outcomes that might not be captured through direct market comparisons. Social return on investment calculations typically involve identifying the outcomes that library services produce - improved literacy, increased employability, strengthened community cohesion - and assigning monetary values to these outcomes based on either avoided costs or the estimated value that beneficiaries themselves place on them. As one study of the National Library of Peru explains, SROI quantifies and monetizes the social values generated by an activity, assigning economic value to goods that are not commercially traded, thereby combining the measurement and communication of financial and social value.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of CC BY License

A third methodology, contingent valuation, approaches the problem from the perspective of willingness to pay. Rather than estimating value from the cost of market alternatives, contingent valuation surveys ask library users and non-users to indicate how much they would be willing to pay to maintain library services, or alternatively, how much compensation they would require to accept the loss of those services. This method has the advantage of capturing what economists call existence value - the value that individuals derive simply from knowing that a library exists and remains available, even if they do not personally use it. Studies employing contingent valuation have been conducted in diverse national contexts, from Norway to Chile, and generally find that both users and non-users express substantial willingness to support library services through taxation or voluntary contributions.

Finally, a smaller but growing body of research employs quasi-experimental designs to estimate the causal effects of library investments. Rather than asking what library services are worth, these studies examine what actually changes when libraries receive additional funding, whether through capital investments in facilities or expansions of services. By comparing communities or school districts that experienced library investments against otherwise similar communities that did not, researchers can isolate the effects of libraries on measurable outcomes such as educational attainment, employment, and economic activity. This approach complements the valuation methodologies by providing evidence on the actual economic consequences of library investment, rather than relying on estimates of what such investment ought to be worth.

Each of these methodologies carries distinct strengths and limitations. Return on investment analysis is transparent and readily comprehensible to non-specialists, but it depends on assumptions about what constitutes equivalent market alternatives that may not fully capture the distinctive qualities of library services. Social return on investment can encompass a broader range of outcomes but introduces greater subjectivity in the assignment of monetary values to social goods. Contingent valuation captures non-use values but relies on hypothetical rather than actual choices. Quasi-experimental designs provide the strongest evidence of causal effects but can only measure outcomes for which reliable data exist, potentially missing important dimensions of library impact. The most robust assessments of library value would likely employ multiple methodologies in combination, triangulating across different approaches to build a more complete picture.

Empirical Findings on Library Return on Investment

The empirical literature on library valuation has produced a remarkably consistent set of findings regarding the economic returns generated by public library investment, even as the specific magnitude of those returns varies across contexts and methodologies. The California State Library, drawing on a synthesis of valuation studies, reports that for every dollar invested in public libraries, between two and ten dollars are returned, with the most common return falling between three and six dollars. This range provides a useful benchmark against which specific findings can be situated.

Studies employing return on investment analysis in specific jurisdictions have generally produced figures within or above this range. A comprehensive study of Texas public libraries calculated a total benefit of approximately seven hundred thirty-nine million dollars against a total investment of two hundred forty-five million dollars, yielding a return

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of CC BY License

on investment of 3.01. The analysis included valuation of circulation, in-library use, reference services, public programs, computer and internet access, and volunteer contributions. A study of the Columbus Public Library in Nebraska found that residents received services valued at approximately 2.67 million dollars from a library operating with 1.44 million dollars in funding, representing an annual community savings of more than 1.2 million dollars and a return of approximately 1.86 dollars per dollar invested. While lower than the Texas figure, this return still represents a substantial positive value proposition for local taxpayers.

Social return on investment studies have produced particularly striking results in contexts where library services fill gaps left by absent or unaffordable market alternatives. A study of the National Library of Peru estimated that for every sol invested in library services, society received a return ranging from 1.1 to 2.0 soles, with digital lending services demonstrating the highest efficiency at a return of 2.0, compared to 1.3 for in-library consultation and 1.1 for physical book lending. More dramatically, research on the Medellín Public Library System in Colombia found a social return of 7.24 times the value invested. The authors of the Peru study explain this variation by reference to institutional context, suggesting that in countries with less developed library systems and fewer alternatives for accessing information and educational resources, the redistributive effect of library services produces higher returns.

Comparative evidence from other national contexts reinforces the general pattern. Research on Czech libraries calculated a benefit-cost ratio of 6.03 using combined methods of contingent valuation and secondary economic impact analysis. Studies of public libraries in Latvia found benefit-cost ratios ranging from 1.4 for general library services to 5.5 for exhibitions and 3.0 for computer and internet access. In the United Kingdom, the British Library's Business and Intellectual Property Centres supported the creation of over twelve thousand businesses and nearly eight thousand jobs, representing a gross value added of seventy-eight million pounds and a return on investment ratio of four to one.

Several studies have moved beyond simple benefit-cost ratios to examine the specific mechanisms through which library investments produce economic returns. The most rigorous of these employs quasi-experimental methods to establish causal relationships. A study published in the American Economic Journal: Economic Policy used data from the near universe of American public libraries to demonstrate that capital investments in libraries increase library visits, children's attendance at library events, and children's circulation by an average of five to fifteen percent in the years following investment. More importantly, these increases in library use translate into measurable educational outcomes: a capital investment of two hundred dollars or more per student in local public libraries increases reading test scores by 0.01 to 0.04 standard deviations in subsequent years. This finding provides direct evidence that library investments produce tangible human capital benefits that extend beyond the immediate users of library services.

The economic value of libraries also manifests through their role in supporting individual economic activity, particularly during periods of economic distress. Research cited by the California State Library indicates that during the 2008 economic downturn, over thirty million people used library computers to search for employment, and 3.7 million of them reported that they found work. More broadly, employment and career purposes rank

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of CC BY License

among the top uses for library computers and internet services, and during recessions, the number of people using libraries for job-related resources can increase by fifty percent. These findings suggest that libraries function as a form of economic infrastructure, providing essential support for workforce participation and adjustment during periods of economic transition.

Beyond Direct Service Valuation: Libraries as Economic Catalysts

While return on investment analyses provide compelling evidence of the direct value that libraries deliver to their users, the full economic impact of public libraries extends beyond what can be captured through service valuation alone. Libraries function as economic actors within their communities in ways that generate spillover benefits, supporting local businesses, attracting foot traffic to commercial districts, and contributing to the broader conditions for economic vitality.

One important dimension of this spillover effect is what researchers have termed the halo spending phenomenon. When people visit a library, they frequently patronize nearby businesses - restaurants, retail shops, and service providers - either before or after their library visit. This spending supports local commercial activity in ways that would not occur absent the library's presence. The California State Library notes that this halo effect gives restaurants, retail, and services close to library branches a measurable boost, though quantifying the precise magnitude of this effect remains methodologically challenging.

A second dimension involves the library's role in supporting entrepreneurship and small business development. Libraries across the United States and internationally provide resources specifically designed to assist entrepreneurs and business owners: access to market data and business planning databases, workshop spaces, technical assistance, and networking opportunities. A survey of 415 library directors across thirteen Midwestern states found that libraries engage in a wide range of personalized and innovative programming for patrons seeking training and jobs, and support business startup and development with spaces, technical assistance, and market analyses. The authors conclude that economic development-focused local, state, and philanthropic organizations would benefit from greater engagement with local libraries, recognizing them as underutilized partners in economic development efforts. Similar findings have been documented in Indonesia, where the Bintan District Library supports small businesses with free Wi-Fi, computers, and training in digital tools, and in the United Kingdom through the British Library's business support programs.

The economic contributions of libraries to human capital development represent a third critical dimension. As noted earlier, quasi-experimental research has demonstrated that library investments improve reading test scores in nearby school districts. Beyond formal education, libraries support adult literacy, digital skills development, and lifelong learning, all of which contribute to workforce productivity and adaptability. The economic costs of low literacy are substantial: the National Council for Adult Learning has pointed to annual costs of two hundred twenty-five billion dollars in lost productivity, crime, and tax revenue due to unemployment tied to low literacy, while some estimates link two hundred thirty-two billion dollars in healthcare costs to low literacy. By combating these deficits through family literacy programs, adult basic skills education, and support for job seekers, libraries generate economic value that extends far beyond the direct value of their services.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of CC BY License

Public libraries also contribute to economic development through their role in community revitalization and place-making. As the Aspen Institute has observed, a library's physical presence provides an anchor for economic development and neighborhood revitalization, helping to strengthen social bonds and community identity. Library buildings are frequently sources of civic pride, and library spaces and programs bring people together in ways that strengthen the social fabric upon which economic activity depends. Research indicates that seventy-three percent of Americans believe their local libraries promote a sense of community across different groups, while sixty-five percent see libraries as gathering places for addressing community challenges. This social cohesion has economic value, though it resists straightforward quantification.

The resilience function of libraries during economic crises represents a final dimension of their economic contribution. Libraries serve as "second responders" during emergencies, providing continuity of services and community support when other institutions are disrupted. During the COVID-19 pandemic, libraries adapted rapidly to provide digital services, virtual programming, and in some cases, physical infrastructure for socially distanced activities. This adaptive capacity means that libraries function as stabilizing institutions within local economies, maintaining essential services even under adverse conditions.

Limitations and Challenges in Library Valuation

Despite the progress that has been made in quantifying the economic value of public libraries, researchers consistently acknowledge significant limitations in current approaches. These limitations arise from both the inherent difficulty of valuing non-market goods and from practical constraints on data collection and analysis.

The most fundamental limitation concerns the treatment of intangible benefits. As a study of the National Library of Peru acknowledges, estimating social return on investment for library services faces methodological and practical challenges, primarily due to the difficulty of monetizing intangible benefits such as access to knowledge or the formation of reading habits. The value that individuals derive from the sheer pleasure of reading, from the serendipitous discovery of a book that changes one's perspective, or from the comfort of a trusted public space cannot be fully captured through any existing valuation methodology. Similarly, the sense of community that libraries foster, the reduction in social isolation, and the enhancement of civic engagement that libraries promote are real benefits that resist monetization.

Data availability presents a second significant constraint. Many valuation studies must rely on secondary sources and average prices rather than primary data on actual outcomes. The Peru study notes that limited availability of systematic data and the need to use secondary sources introduce a degree of subjectivity into the analysis. Studies typically value library services using market prices for equivalent goods - the retail price of a book, the hourly rate for internet access, the ticket price for an educational program - but these market proxies may not accurately reflect the value that library users themselves derive from these services. A person who borrows a book from the library might value it at considerably more or less than its retail price, depending on their circumstances and preferences.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of CC BY License

A third limitation concerns the comparability of results across different studies and contexts. As the Peru study explicitly states, social return on investment is not a tool that can be used for comparative purposes between different libraries or across national contexts; comparing the results from a Latin American library with those from a European library would be biased. Differences in methodology, scope of analysis, valuation assumptions, and institutional context all affect the resulting estimates, making it difficult to draw conclusions about the relative efficiency of different library systems or even to establish benchmarks that apply across contexts.

The treatment of costs also presents challenges. Many valuation studies exclude certain categories of expenditure - administrative overhead, infrastructure costs, or the depreciation of collections - to avoid what researchers perceive as distortions in the analysis. However, excluding these costs may overstate the return on investment by understating the true cost of providing library services. The Peru study acknowledges that excluding administrative costs (utilities, security, infrastructure) could underestimate the real cost of operation. Similarly, the linear depreciation schedules applied to library collections may not reflect actual patterns of obsolescence or preservation needs.

Finally, the valuation methodologies themselves embed assumptions about consumer behavior and market conditions that may not hold in practice. Return on investment analyses assume that library users would purchase equivalent services in the market if libraries did not exist, but this counterfactual is unknowable. Some users might substitute other free or low-cost alternatives; others might simply forgo the service. The value of a library loan to a patron who would not have purchased the book at retail is not accurately measured by the book's retail price. These substitution assumptions affect the validity of benefit estimates but are difficult to verify empirically.

Implications for Policy and Practice

The evidence on the economic value of public libraries carries several implications for policymakers, library administrators, and advocates. The most immediate is that libraries can credibly claim to generate substantial positive returns on public investment. The consistency of findings across diverse contexts - with benefit-cost ratios typically well above one and often exceeding three - provides a robust foundation for arguments that library funding represents not merely a public expense but a productive investment in community economic vitality.

That said, the limitations of valuation methodologies counsel against over-reliance on any single figure. A return on investment of, say, five to one conveys a general message about the productivity of library investment, but it should not be treated as a precise measure that can be compared across jurisdictions or used to justify marginal changes in funding. The appropriate use of valuation results is to support a qualitative judgment that libraries deliver more value than they cost, rather than to make fine-grained allocation decisions based on small differences in computed ratios.

For library administrators, valuation exercises offer opportunities for internal reflection and improvement as well as external communication. The process of identifying and valuing library services requires administrators to clarify what their library actually does and for whom, potentially revealing gaps between intended and actual service delivery. Moreover, the disaggregated results of valuation studies can identify which services

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of CC BY License

generate the highest returns relative to their costs. The Peru study, for example, found that digital lending generated the highest social return, a finding that supports investment in digital infrastructure while also raising questions about the equity implications of shifting resources away from physical services.

For policymakers, the evidence on library contributions to human capital development and economic resilience suggests that libraries should be understood as components of local economic development infrastructure. This reframing has implications for how libraries are funded and governed. If libraries contribute to workforce development, educational outcomes, and business creation, they arguably warrant support from economic development budgets, not merely from cultural or educational allocations. Partnerships between libraries and workforce development boards, small business development centers, and economic development agencies - already occurring in many communities - represent a promising model for leveraging library resources more effectively.

Conclusion

The economic valuation of public libraries has matured into a substantial field of research employing diverse methodologies and generating consistent evidence that libraries deliver significant positive returns on public investment. Across multiple national contexts, using methods ranging from return on investment analysis to social return on investment to quasi-experimental designs, researchers have documented benefit-cost ratios that typically exceed two to one and often reach three to six or higher. These returns arise from the direct value of library services - the books borrowed, the computer sessions used, the questions answered - as well as from broader contributions to educational attainment, workforce development, entrepreneurship, and community economic resilience.

The limitations of current valuation approaches should be acknowledged rather than obscured. Intangible benefits resist monetization, data constraints introduce uncertainty, and the results of any particular study depend heavily on methodological choices and contextual factors. These limitations mean that economic valuation should be understood as one input among many in decisions about library funding and management, not as a definitive arbiter of value. The qualitative benefits of libraries - their role as trusted institutions, as spaces of intellectual freedom, as expressions of community commitment to shared knowledge - remain essential even when they cannot be expressed as a numerical ratio.

Looking forward, the field would benefit from continued methodological refinement, particularly in developing approaches that can capture the social and cultural dimensions of library value without reducing them to narrow economic terms. Longitudinal research tracking the effects of library investments over extended periods would strengthen understanding of causal mechanisms and long-term outcomes. Comparative research across different institutional and national contexts, conducted with careful attention to methodological consistency, could illuminate the conditions under which libraries generate the greatest returns. Perhaps most importantly, research that integrates economic valuation with qualitative understanding of how libraries function within communities would provide a more complete picture of their contributions.

What emerges from the existing research is a clear picture of public libraries as institutions that generate substantial economic value alongside their cultural and social

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of CC BY License

contributions. The question facing policymakers is no longer whether libraries provide economic benefits - the evidence on this point is conclusive - but how to structure funding and governance to maximize those benefits while preserving the essential character of libraries as free and open institutions. The economic case for public libraries is strong; the challenge is to ensure that this case is understood and acted upon in decisions that shape the future of these vital community institutions.

References

1. Tuychiyeva, S., Yuldosheva, S., Tuychiyeva, D., Xolmatov, R., & Zokirov, M. (2023). Innovative activity of libraries in Uzbekistan. Journal of Advanced Zoology, 44, 4261-4266.

2. To'Ychiyeva, D. (2023). AXBOROT-KUTUBXONA MUASSASALARIDA REKLAMANING O 'ZIGA XOS XUSUSIYATLARI VA ULARNING TURLARI. Oriental Art and Culture, 4(1), 802-807.

3. Tuychiyeva, D. (2023). Commercial and Non-Commercial Marketing in Information and Library Activities. European Journal of Innovation in Nonformal Education, 3(10), 32-35.

4. Tuychieva, S. (2023). The Impact OF the National Process OF Ascension on the Socio-Spiritual Development OF Society. European Journal of Innovation in Nonformal Education, 3(12), 1-3.

5. Tuychieva, S. N. (2023). Formation of Information-Library Fund. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INCLUSIVE AND SUSTAINABLE EDUCATION, 2(2), 22-24.

6. Tuychiyeva, S., Yuldosheva, S., Tuychiyeva, D., Xolmatov, R., & Zokirov, M. (2023). Innovative activity of libraries in Uzbekistan. Journal of Advanced Zoology, 44, 4261-4266.

7. Yuldasheva, S. N. (2023). A Modern Approach to the Development of Reading Culture. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INCLUSIVE AND SUSTAINABLE EDUCATION, 2(5), 28-32.

8. Yuldasheva, S. (2021). The Emergence of Libraries as a Hotbed of Spirituality and Enlightenment. International Journal of Culture and Modernity, 10, 43-51.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of CC BY License