The self-sustaining library, entrepreneurial models for reducing fiscal dependency

Sadoqat Raimjonova
Scientific supervisor: Rashid Turgunbayev
Kokand State University

Abstract: *This article examines the imperative for libraries to transition from traditional fiscal dependency toward entrepreneurial models of self-sustainability in response to chronic funding instability and escalating operational demands. It argues that libraries possess underleveraged assets, including physical spaces, specialized expertise, technological infrastructure, and community relationships, that can be strategically monetized through fee-based services, social enterprises, space rentals, and philanthropic development without compromising core public missions of free access and equitable service. The analysis explores ethical frameworks for managing dual pricing structures, governance mechanisms for preventing mission drift, and incremental implementation strategies that mitigate risk while building organizational capacity. Drawing on case evidence from pioneering libraries across multiple sectors, the article contends that entrepreneurial models do not represent privatization or commodification but rather pragmatic adaptations that generate surplus revenues to subsidize and strengthen free core services. The self-sustaining library is presented not as a departure from library values but as their most robust expression, ensuring institutional longevity, operational agility, and continued community relevance in an era of fiscal uncertainty.*

Keywords: *library entrepreneurship, fiscal self-sufficiency, revenue diversification, social enterprise models, earned income strategies, library financial sustainability*

The library as an institution has long been characterized by its dependence on external beneficence, whether from municipal tax levies, university allocations, state appropriations, or philanthropic endowments. This structural dependency, while historically normative, has rendered libraries perpetually vulnerable to the caprices of political cycles, economic downturns, and shifting administrative priorities. The COVID-19 pandemic starkly illuminated this fragility when countless libraries faced emergency closures, staffing reductions, and service contractions precisely when their communities needed them most, for crisis information, remote learning support, mental health resources, and digital access. In the aftermath of that collective trauma, a growing cohort of library leaders has begun to ask whether the profession can afford to continue operating under a model that essentially positions libraries as supplicants rather than protagonists in their own financial destinies. The emergence of entrepreneurial models for library operations represents a paradigm shift of considerable magnitude, one that reimagines the library not as a passive recipient of public goodwill but as an active economic agent capable of generating its own revenues, building its own reserves, and determining its own strategic trajectory. This transformation toward the self-sustaining library is neither reckless nor radical when properly understood; it is a prudent, measured, and ultimately conservative response to the demonstrated unreliability of traditional funding sources, and it draws upon a rich heritage of cooperative enterprise, social entrepreneurship, and community-based economic development that aligns seamlessly with the library's core values of access, equity, and empowerment.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of CC BY License

The conceptual foundation of the entrepreneurial library rests upon a fundamental reframing of what constitutes library value. Traditional advocacy has emphasized the library's role as a public good, a position that, while morally compelling, inadvertently positions the library outside the framework of economic exchange and therefore outside the calculus of return on investment that drives most public policy decisions. Entrepreneurial models, by contrast, insist that library services and assets possess measurable market value and that capturing a portion of that value for reinvestment is not only permissible but prudent. This does not mean charging for core services such as borrowing, reference assistance, or public access computing, which remain the non-negotiable bedrock of the library's public mission. Rather, it means identifying those services, spaces, and expertise that exceed the baseline of universal provision and converting them into revenue-generating enterprises that cross-subsidize the free offerings. The library that offers expedited document delivery, premium database access, specialized research consultations, and technology training for corporate clients is not abandoning its public character but leveraging its distinctive competencies to generate income that strengthens its public offerings. This logic is already familiar in allied cultural sectors; museums charge admission while offering free days, theatres sell subscriptions while distributing community tickets, and universities charge tuition while providing need-based aid. The library's hesitancy to adopt analogous hybrid models reflects cultural inertia rather than principled objection, and the time has come to challenge that inertia with evidence, experimentation, and ethical clarity.

One of the most immediately accessible entrepreneurial pathways for libraries lies in the transformation of physical space from passive square footage to active revenue-generating asset. Libraries occupy some of the most desirable real estate in their communities, often situated in downtown cores, campus centres, or densely populated neighbourhoods, yet these spaces remain largely dormant during evenings, weekends, and holiday periods when professional staff are unavailable and patrons are otherwise occupied. The entrepreneurial library views this dormant capacity as opportunity rather than waste, developing rental programmes for meeting rooms, auditoriums, conference facilities, and outdoor courtyards that generate steady income streams while simultaneously increasing community visibility and engagement. Graduated fee structures can accommodate non-profit organizations, small businesses, government agencies, and private individuals, with discounts or waivers available for community-serving groups that cannot afford market rates. Similarly, the proliferation of makerspaces, recording studios, fabrication laboratories, and media production suites within libraries represents an underleveraged revenue opportunity, as these facilities require expensive equipment, specialized maintenance, and expert supervision that could be partially offset through membership subscriptions, day passes, and certified training courses. The public library in Calgary, Alberta, has demonstrated the viability of this model by generating over a million dollars annually through space rentals and technology access fees, all while maintaining free access for low-income patrons through a robust scholarship programme that ensures equity is preserved even as revenue is generated.

Beyond physical assets, the library's intellectual capital constitutes its most valuable yet most underutilized economic resource. Professional librarians possess advanced degrees in

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of CC BY License

information science, extensive training in search methodologies, deep familiarity with specialized databases, and nuanced understanding of copyright, licensing, and intellectual property, competencies that command substantial premiums in the private sector. The entrepreneurial library capitalizes on this expertise by offering fee-based research services to law firms, medical practices, engineering consultancies, market research agencies, and independent scholars who require authoritative, time-sensitive information retrieval but lack the internal capacity to conduct such searches efficiently. These services are not merely transactional; they build relationships with corporate clients who may become long-term partners, donors, and advocates for library funding. Moreover, fee-based research generates rich case studies and success stories that can be leveraged in grant applications, annual reports, and advocacy campaigns, demonstrating the library's economic impact in tangible, quantifiable terms. The ethical management of these services requires clear separation between subsidized public research support and premium fee-based offerings, with service-level agreements that specify turnaround times, scope, pricing, and confidentiality provisions. When structured transparently, these services enhance rather than diminish the library's public reputation, positioning librarians as trusted information professionals whose expertise has genuine market value.

The entrepreneurial library also embraces the logic of social enterprise, developing programmes and services that simultaneously fulfill community needs and generate sustainable revenue through earned income. Workforce development initiatives, for example, represent a natural intersection of library mission and revenue opportunity, as libraries can offer certification programmes, continuing education courses, digital literacy boot camps, and English language instruction at tuition rates that are significantly lower than for-profit providers yet sufficient to cover instructional costs and generate modest surpluses. Similarly, small business support programmes that include market research assistance, business plan development, legal referral services, and networking events can be offered on a membership or fee-for-service basis to entrepreneurs who recognize the value of professional information services for their ventures. The key to successful social enterprise in libraries lies in maintaining a dual pricing structure that enables economically disadvantaged participants to access services at reduced or no cost while commercial users pay market rates that subsidize the overall programme. This approach, sometimes termed a cross-subsidy model, has been extensively validated in the non-profit sector and is readily adaptable to library contexts, provided that libraries invest in appropriate financial management systems, pricing expertise, and marketing capabilities that are often absent from traditional library operations.

Philanthropic entrepreneurship represents another dimension of the self-sustaining library, moving beyond passive grant-seeking toward active cultivation of individual donors, family foundations, and corporate sponsors who share the library's vision and are willing to invest in its long-term vitality. The entrepreneurial approach to fundraising is characterized by strategic relationship building, customized giving opportunities, and rigorous stewardship that transforms one-time donors into lifelong advocates and planned-givers. Libraries that have invested in professional development staff, donor databases, and cultivation events have seen exponential growth in contributed income, often surpassing the returns from traditional government grants within a few years. The most successful

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of CC BY License

library fundraisers understand that donors give not to institutions but to outcomes, and they articulate their cases in terms of measurable community impact, such as the number of children prepared for kindergarten, adults gaining digital employment skills, or small businesses launched through library incubation programmes. Planned giving, including bequests, charitable remainder trusts, and life insurance designations, offers particular promise for libraries seeking to build endowments that provide perpetual, unrestricted income, insulating the institution from annual budget volatility and enabling long-term strategic planning that is otherwise impossible in the context of year-to-year appropriations.

Critics of entrepreneurial library models frequently raise concerns about mission drift, equity erosion, and the commodification of knowledge, objections that deserve serious engagement rather than dismissal. The risk of mission drift is genuine and requires deliberate institutional safeguards, including written policies that define the boundaries of fee-based services, regular reviews of revenue activities against strategic plans, and governance structures that ensure community representation in decision-making. The equity concern is equally valid and demands proactive measures such as sliding scale fees, subsidy programmes, and free alternatives for all essential services, ensuring that no patron is excluded from core library offerings due to inability to pay. The commodification objection, while philosophically weighty, underestimates the library's capacity to hold multiple value systems simultaneously, valuing knowledge as both a human right and an economic good without contradiction. The library that charges for premium research services while offering free reference assistance to all patrons is not commodifying knowledge but rather segmenting its service portfolio in ways that generate resources for expanded access. This is not a betrayal of library values but a pragmatic adaptation that preserves those values in a challenging fiscal environment.

The transition to entrepreneurial models requires corresponding changes in library governance, staffing, and organizational culture that cannot be achieved overnight. Library boards and advisory councils must include members with business, financial, legal, and real estate expertise who can provide strategic guidance and accountability for revenue-generating activities. Library directors must develop competencies in feasibility analysis, business planning, pricing strategy, and risk management, skills that are rarely emphasized in traditional library education but are increasingly essential for institutional survival. Frontline staff must be trained in customer service, sales etiquette, and programme promotion, understanding that every patron interaction is an opportunity to build relationships that may translate into future revenue or philanthropic support. These cultural shifts can be uncomfortable for professionals who entered librarianship precisely to escape the commercial pressures of the private sector, yet they are not incompatible with a deeply held commitment to public service. On the contrary, the librarian who understands the economics of their institution is better equipped to advocate for it, to justify its budget, and to ensure its survival for future generations. The entrepreneurial librarian is not a sellout but a steward, recognizing that financial sustainability is the prerequisite for all other forms of sustainability.

Implementation of entrepreneurial models must proceed incrementally, with pilot programmes, feasibility studies, and iterative evaluation that allow libraries to test assumptions, refine offerings, and build internal capacity without overextending resources.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of CC BY License

A library considering fee-based research services might begin with a small-scale pilot serving a single corporate client, documenting costs, revenues, and patron feedback before expanding to additional sectors. A library contemplating space rentals might start with a single room and a simple booking system, gradually adding amenities, staffing, and pricing tiers as demand and expertise grow. A library exploring social enterprise might partner with an established non-profit or community college to co-deliver workforce training, sharing risks and learning from experienced partners. This incremental approach reduces the likelihood of costly failures, builds staff confidence and competence, and generates evidence that can be used to secure board approval, community buy-in, and ongoing investment. Moreover, incremental implementation allows libraries to maintain their core services without disruption, ensuring that the entrepreneurial experiment does not compromise the very mission it is intended to sustain.

The self-sustaining library is not an oxymoron but an aspiration, a vision of institutional maturity that acknowledges the realities of contemporary public finance while refusing to accept the inevitability of decline. Libraries that successfully diversify their revenue streams, develop entrepreneurial competencies, and build financial reserves are not abandoning their public character but deepening it, gaining the freedom to respond nimbly to emerging community needs, to experiment with innovative programmes, to invest in staff development and infrastructure, and to weather economic downturns with resilience rather than desperation. These libraries become models not only for their peers but for other public institutions facing similar fiscal pressures, demonstrating that the public good is not weakened by economic self-sufficiency but strengthened by it. The journey toward the self-sustaining library is neither easy nor short, but it is necessary, and it begins with the recognition that libraries are too valuable to be left to the mercy of fluctuating budgets and that the profession must take ownership of its economic destiny with the same creativity, commitment, and courage that it has always brought to its informational and educational missions.

References

1. Skelly, L., Stilwell, C., & Underwood, P. G. (2015). Correlations between the economy and public library use. The Bottom Line, 28(1-2), 26-33.

2. Missingham, R. (2005). Libraries and economic value: a review of recent studies. Performance measurement and metrics, 6(3), 142-158.

3. Pung, C., Clarke, A., & Patten, L. (2004). Measuring the economic impact of the British Library. New review of academic librarianship, 10(1), 79-102.

4. Mattern, S. (2014). Library as infrastructure. Places Journal.

5. Zharinov, S. (2020). The role of the library in the digital economy. Information Technology and Libraries, 39(4).

6. Leckie, G. J., & Hopkins, J. (2002). The public place of central libraries: Findings from Toronto and Vancouver. The library quarterly, 72(3), 326-372.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of CC BY License